A CMR STUDY OF THE BIOSYNTHESIS OF CHLORAMPHENICOL'

Murray H. G. Munro, Masao Taniguchi, Kenneth L. Rinehart, Jr.*

Roger Adams Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

David Gottlieb

Department of Plant Pathology, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

(Beceived in USA 11 March 1975; received in UK for publication 17 June 1975)

The biosynthesis of the medically important antibiotic chloramphenicol (1, Figure 1) has been a subject of considerable interest during the past 20 years. Early experiments² suggested **the operation of a shikimate pathway3 and this was supported by the low incorporation, with high dilution, of shikimate into chloramphenicol.4 Our recent studylb based on a specific degradation scheme for chloramphenicol provided much support for the shikimate hypothesis, but the degradative scheme devised was not able to distinguish between C-l and C-2/C-6 or between C-2' and C-3' (Figure 1). We report here a biosynthetic study of chloramphenicol employing [6-13C]D-glucose which definitively locates all labeled carbons by carbon magnetic resonance (cmr) spectroscopy and more clearly implicates the shikimate pathway.**

Figure 1. Labeling of chloramphenicol by [6-'ˇC]glucose: *heavily enriched (<u>ca</u>. 7X) carbons; [†]lightly enriched (ca. 2X) carbon.

The natural abundance cmr spectrum of chloramphenicol diacetate (Table I) displays 13 resonances (C-2/C-6, and C-3/C-5 being degenerate due to the plane of symmetry in the molecule). From chemical shift considerations alone,⁵ the resonances at 20.5, 20.6, 169.5 and 170.0 ppm **could be assigned directly to the acetate methyl and carbonyl carbons, that at 164.0 ppm to the amide carbonyl, and the signal at 52.0 ppm to C-2'. The resonance at 62.3 ppm could be assigned from its unique multiplicity in the off-resonance spectrum (triplet) to C-3'. Specific proton decoupling at 6.35, 6.00, 8.20 and 7.60 ppm from TMS assigned the resonances at 66.3, 72.8,** 123.5, and 127.9 to the -CHC1₂ group, C-1', C-3/C-5, and C-2/C-6, respectively.

'Ppm from TMS, determined using a Varian XLFT-100 spectrometer and Digilab computer, DMSO- -d6 (solvent) as internal lock, and TMS as internal standard. bChange in carbon chemical shift on acetylation. 'Chloramphenicol diacetate, off-resonance decoupled, s=singlet, d=doublet, t= triplet. dSpecific proton decoupling on chloramphenicol diacetate: resonance of proton decoupled, in ppm relative to TMS. ^eCalculated by taking the ratio of the intensity of a peak **in the enriched spectrum relative to that in the natural abundance spectrum, with the acetate** carbonyl carbons assigned the value 1.00. ^fEnrichments less than 1.50 are not considered **significant.**

Assignments for the remaining two resonances (those for C-1 and C-4) were based on shift **data for the relevant resonances in I-phenyl-2-dichloroacetamido-1-propanol (2. prepared from 1-phenyl-bamino-1-propanol, &)6 and its acetate (4) as well as those in benzyl alcohol and benzyl acetate.6 On acetylation. C-4 of benzyl alcohol moves downfield from 127.2 to 128.1 ppm**

$$
C_6H_5CH - CH - CH_3
$$

\nOR NHR'
\n
$$
R = R' = H
$$

\n
$$
R = H, R' = -COCHCl_2
$$

\n
$$
R = Ac, R' = -COCHCl_2
$$

 $(\Delta = +0.9)$, and C-4 of 2 moves downfield from 126.9 to 127.9 ppm $(\Delta = +1.0)$. On the other hand, C-1 of benzyl alcohol moves upfield on acetylation, from 140.8 to 136.2 ppm $(A = -4.6)$, and C-1 of λ moves upfield from 142.9 to 137.4 (Δ = -5.5). For chloramphenicol this is consistent only with assigning C-1 to the peak at 151.3 ppm and C-4 to that at 146.5 ppm (Table I); for chlor**amphenicol diacetate C-l is at 144.8 ppm and C-4 at 147.3 ppm.**

Streptomyces venezuelae was grown in twelve 500-ml Erlermeyer flasks, each containing 100 ml of medium which was a modification of the glycerol-lactate type7 in which glycerol was replaced by glucose. Only 84% of the required sugar, the unlabeled glucose, was added initially. At 27 hr, when the cultures were actively growing, a total of 2.0 g (the remaining 16% of the sugar) of [6-13C1D-glucose (64 atom %)8 was divided equally among the twelve flasks. These flasks were incubated for a total of 126 hr at 26' fn a reciprocal shaker (94 strokes per min. 2.5-in strokes). Chloramphenicol was isolated along with other neutral compounds from the filtered medium by ethyl acetate extraction and purified by conversion to the diacetate. which was chromatographed on silica gel and crystallized.

The cmr spectrum of the labeled chloramphenicol diacetate (27 mg, Table I) revealed that C-2/C-6, C-l' and the -CHCl₂ carbons were about equally labeled (6-7 times natural abundance). **The amide carbonyl was labeled to a lesser extent (2 times natural abundance). This pattern is entirely in accord with that expected for the shikimate pathway (Figure 2) and extends our**

Figure 2. The shikimate pathway to chloramphenicol. Label (A) from C-6 of glucose is converted in several steps^{1b} to label at C-3 of phosphoenolpyruvate and at C-4 of **erythrose-4-phosphate, and ultimately to C-2, C-6 and C-3' of chloramphenicol.**

earlier worklb to locate the label from [6-13C]glucose specifically at C-Z/C-6 (rather than at C-l or C-2/C-6, as established earlier) and to define the labeling pattern in the side chain and dichloroacetyl group. Labeling of the CHCl₂ carbon undoubtedly proceeds through [3-¹³C]phosphoenolpyruvate, while the (lower) labeling of the amide carbonyl presumably arises via operation of the tricarboxylic acid cycle. Greater labeling of the dichloromethyl carbon vs. the **amide carbon (7:l) has also been observed from [3-14C]pheny7alanine.7**

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a grant (No. AI 1278) from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. The anr spectrometer employed was provided by an instrumentation grant from the National Science Foundation. We thank Ms. Sue Wood for **assistance with microbiological techniques.**

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Paper VI in the series Biosynthesis of Chloramphenicol. (b) Paper V: W. P. O'Neill, R. F. Nystrom, K. L. Rinehart, Jr., and D. Gottlieb, Biochemistry, 12, 4775 (1973).

(2) A review: D. Gottlieb, in "Antibiotics," Yol. II, "Biosynthesis," D. Gottlieb and **P. 0. Shaw, Eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967, p. 32.**

(3) A review: F. Gibson and J. Pittard, Bacteriol. Rev., 32, 465 (1968).

(4) L. C. Vining and D. W. S. Westlake, Can. J. Microbiol., 10, 705 (1964).

(5) J. B. Stothers, "Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy," Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1972.

(6) L. F. Johnson and W. C. Jankowski, "Carbon-13 NMR Spectra," Wfley-Interscience, New York, N.Y+, 1972, Spectra No. 355, 246 and 345.

(7) 0. Gottlleb, H. E. Carter, P. W, Robblns, and R. W. Burg, J. Bacterlol., #, 888 (1962).

(8) K. L. Rinehart, Jr., J. M, Malik, R. F. Nystrom, 8. M. Stroshane, S. T, Truftt, M. Taniguchi, J. P. Rolls, W. J. Haak, and B. A. Ruff, <u>J. Amer, Chem. Soc</u>., 96, 2263 (1974).